

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/084,919	03/01/2002	Yutaka Mimino	020129	7136
23850 7	590 11/05/2002			
ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW. SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			FARAHANI, DANA	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/084,919	MIMINO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dana Farahani	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/19	<u>/02</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) eation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tra	Idemark Office						

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Huang et al., hereinafter Huang (U.S. Patent 6,162,686), previously cited in view of chang (U.S. Patent 5,427,979), newly cited.

Regarding claims 1, 2, 3, and 8, Huang discloses in figure 5, a device comprising a plate 20A provided on a semiconductor substrate 10; a plurality of line conductors 72, 62, 44, and 34, provided on the ground plate, forming a multiple layer structure with interlayer insulation films 24, 30, 42, and 66 intervening there-between that is composed of a resin insulating material; a pad 72 provided on most upper one of the interlayer insulation films; and a groove, shown in the middle, provided in the most upper one of the interlayer insulation films and between the pad and the line conductor 72 (on the right side) on the most upper one of the interlayer insulation films.

Huang does not disclose pad 72 is on the upper surface of insulating film 76.

Chang discloses in figure 2, a fuse structure, wherein conductive layer 48 is on top of the insulating layer 44. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to put another conductive layer on top of the

Art Unit: 2814

insulating layer in Huang structure, in order to make a conventional fuse structure, as in Chang reference, in the same structure of Huang's invention.

Regarding claim 4, see Huang, figure 5, wherein the pad is disposed in a peripheral region along an edge of the substrate.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, in figure 1 a through-hole 32A provided, so the pad 72 could be connected to other lower conducting layers.

Regarding claim 5, Huang in view of Chang renders the claimed invention obvious, as discussed above, except for plurality of grooves. It would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art to form plural grooves on the upper insulator layer in order to make a plurality of plugs.

Regarding claim 9, Huang in view of Chang renders the claimed invention obvious, as discussed above, except for the insulating film being made of polyamide or benzocyclobutene. It would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to choose the appropriate insulating material in a device, according to the suitability and availability of the material.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claim have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2814

Regarding applicant's argument that the line conductor in Huang reference is within the insulator layer 66, and this limitation is found in amended claim 1, note that this limitation is found in the newly cited reference Chang.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Farahani whose telephone number is (703)305-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM - 6:00PM.

Art Unit: 2814

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703)306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9318 for regular communications and (703)872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Dana Farahani October 30, 2002

LONG PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER